	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/510,567	MIYAZAWA, HIROHISA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuan T. Dinh	2841
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Tuan T. Dinh</u> .	(3) Stephen Palan.	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 1, 9,and 10		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Discussed claimed in vention with Mr. Palan on 09/29/10, that had authorizes to examiner to do examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substand interview, since the intervie
/Tuan T Dinh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2841	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)